Mark Zaid
1501 N St., NW #1175
Washington, DC 20005

3/17/96

Dear Mark,

From Morley's interesting story you have a worthwhile case for Scott. Good luck with it!

I know nothing special about Win Scott and as you may know, I think other than most of you do of the significance of the Mexico City info in the assassination. I have read some of the newer disclosures, far from all, and I plan no more writing about it. I do have a few thoughts you may or may not find useful.

I am inclined to believe that when Scott said it all came to nothing he was not limiting himself to the Cold War. Whether or not related, I think it is a bit unusual for a career spook to retire at 60, unless he knew his health was bad. Scott should have been able to expect higher responsibilities, more important CIA jobs.

I'd like to read the disclosed Mexico City part of his diary. If all is at the Archives, I've not used any of the free copies under the Act. Will you please ask them to send them to me? If they come of more than the 100 pages, please pay them, tell me and I'll repay you.

Because I think other than most of you do about that Mexico City stuff I may see what some of you may not. If I do, I'll let you know.

If you get around to arguing improper withholdings you may want to bear in mind that not only did the CIA anticipate what the Act would mean, it pre-empted the Act and the Congress by rushed processing of records it knew it would have to process under the Act so that it could withhold what it could not withhold under the Act. It could pretty safely assume that it would not be required to reprocess all those records.

It also withheld from them what it had already disclosed.

Sincerely,

Harold

Harold Weisberg